

HOUSING CONSULTATION WORKSHOP

Date 10th Nov
Attendees 63

TABLE All (inc 'top table')

CONSULTATION PROFORMA

Housing Site 1 Between School and Graveyard, Gisburn Rd
Comments

Prefer no devpt but this is best option
Prefer no devpt; bldg nr school is poor option – incd traffic
Concern at extdg village boundary

5 mention need for off street parking
4 mention benefits of playground provision and benefits for school

Doesn't intrude on existing dwellings

No devpt beyond area shown on map

For inclusion

Against inclusion

48 (76%)

14 (22%) Don't know 1 (2%)

Housing Site 2 Above Park View Barn, Gisburn Rd
Comments

Houses to front onto rd

3 mention need for off street parking

Concern at extdg village boundary; negative impact on character of locality

Effect on Park View Barn
Open mkt houses only

For inclusion

Against inclusion

37 (59%)

21 (33%) Don't know 5 (8%)

Housing Site 3 Nook Laithe Croft

Comments

Too many negatives; not ideal for playground

Obvious for devpt; central to village

4 mention access probs

2 mention flooding issues

3 mention parking/traffic issues

Doesn't comply with ribbon devpt

3 say low level housing/row of terraced housing for elderly a possibility

Rest of site to be local green space

2 concerned at loss of working farm land

2 say maximum intrusion on existing dwellings and village green

For inclusion

Against inclusion

18 (29%)

**42 (66%) Don't know 3
(5%)**

Any suggestions for other sites

Existing properties are empty

Existing properties could be extended

Any site not requiring infill devpt

Numbers and Timescale

Comments

Affordable housing – concern could look cheap

- should be limited to local people

Any bldg to be in sympathetic style

Need to provide affordable and retirement housing for locals

**Max of 6 per site: Site 1 – 5, Site 2 – 6 : Site 1 –abs max – 8, Site 2 – 6,
Site 3 – max of 4 for elderly**

Limit to 1 site and 1 phase of devpt

Like phasing to avoid disruption

Site 1 to be developed 1st – will provide car parking

Number in favour of total increase to 2028

<u>No increase</u>	8 (13%)
<u>1 - 5 houses</u>	19 (30%)
<u>6 – 10 houses</u>	13 (21%)
<u>11 – 15 houses</u>	15 (24%)
<u>16 – 20 houses</u>	8 (13%)

Number in support for continuation of ‘growth based’ Plan

57 (90%)

Number opposed to continuation of ‘growth based’ Plan

6 (10%)